

Relating neutrino masses and mixings by discrete symmetries

D. Hernandez ^{*} and A. Yu. Smirnov [†]

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics

Strada Costiera 11, I-34014 Trieste, Italy

Abstract

Lepton mixing can originate from the breaking of a flavor symmetry in different ways in the neutrino and the charged lepton sector. We propose an extension of this framework which allows to connect the mixing parameters with masses, and more precisely, with certain types of degeneracy of the neutrino mass spectrum. We obtain relations between the mixing parameters for the cases of partial degeneracy, $m_1 = m_2$, and complete degeneracy, $m_1 = m_2 = m_3$. These relations determine also the Majorana phases. It is assumed that corrections to these lowest order results will produce the required mass splitting and modify mixing.

^{*}email: dhernand@ictp.it

[†]email: smirnov@ictp.it

1 Introduction

Lepton mixing can be a consequence of the breaking of a flavor symmetry G_f into different *residual symmetries*, G_ν and G_ℓ , for the neutrino and charged lepton mass matrices respectively [1]. To a large extent, this approach was motivated by the peculiar values of the lepton mixing parameters that seemed to be well approximated by the so called tri-bimaximal (TBM) mixing [2]. TBM turned out to be difficult to connect with the known ratios of masses of the charged leptons and neutrinos since, in this approach, the masses of neutrinos and charged leptons “decouple” from mixing. In other words, TBM implies the form invariance of the mass matrix - a situation in which mixing is determined by symmetry alone. Models that reproduced the TBM and other interesting mixing patterns were built along these lines [3, 4, 5, 6]. Independent physics (additional symmetries) was assumed to be responsible for the hierarchy of masses of leptons. Indirect relations between mixing and mass spectrum appear in some specific models, as a result of model structure and particle content.

The separate description of mixing and mass hierarchies (ratios of masses) with different physics involved looks unsatisfactory. Indeed,

1. In general, masses and mixing have the same origins following formally from diagonalization of the mass matrices. They are generated by the same type of Yukawa couplings and so should be somehow related.
2. In the quark sector various relations between the mass ratios and mixing parameters have been uncovered with the Gatto-Sartori-Tonin relation [7] being the most appealing one. The latter can be explained by an abelian flavor symmetry, e.g., in the Froggatt-Nielsen approach [8]. There were some attempts to use discrete symmetries to produce relations between masses and mixing (see e.g. [9]).
3. The now established relatively large 1-3 mixing and the indications of significant deviations of the 2-3 mixing from maximal [10] rule out the exact TBM, and therefore bring doubts to the explanation of mixing separated from masses through nonabelian symmetries. At the same time, it was shown in [11, 12, 13] that flavor symmetries can still accommodate the recent results on the 1-3 and 2-3 mixings.

In [12, 13] we proposed a formalism for “symmetry building” which relies on the aforementioned partial breaking of G_f into two subgroups, G_ℓ and G_ν . We used it to obtain relations between the mixing parameters without explicit reference to any particular model. In this paper we further develop this formalism, which in [12, 13] was elaborated for mixing only, in order to include also neutrino masses. Consequently, we obtain relations between the mixing parameters and certain types of the neutrino mass spectrum.

The crucial point of the explanation of mixing decoupled from masses was to use, as G_ν , the symmetry of a generic neutrino mass matrix M_ν with arbitrary eigenvalues. For

Majorana neutrinos the maximal symmetry of M_ν is $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$, as can be seen immediately in the basis where the mass matrix is diagonal. This generic symmetry does not constrain the masses and therefore leads to the decoupling of mixing. Hence, in order for the symmetry to predict both masses and mixings, G_ν should be enlarged in such a way that invariance of the mass matrix is satisfied only for certain mass spectra.

In this paper, we focus on residual symmetries G_ν that lead to equalities of the neutrino masses. In this connection we will explore two possibilities: (i) two degenerate neutrinos, *i.e.*, equality of two masses, (ii) three degenerate neutrinos. The first case can be considered as the lowest order approximation to spectra with both normal and inverted mass hierarchies. Then, corrections are required which lead to splitting between the masses. In the case of normal mass hierarchy with two vanishing masses, the corrections should generate the mass of at least the second neutrino.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we describe the model independent method for “symmetry building”, applied here to the case of specific neutrino mass spectrum. In Sec. 3 we consider the case of partial degeneracy (equality of two masses). We derive the relations between mixing parameters which also include the Majorana phases. In Sec. 4 we derive constraints on the mixing and phases in the case of completely degenerate spectrum. Discussion and conclusions are presented in Sec. 5.

2 Symmetry relations for mixing and masses

We assume that neutrinos are Majorana particles. Working in the flavor basis, the mass terms of the lepton sector of the Lagrangian read

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \bar{E}_R m_\ell \ell_L + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\nu}^c_{fL} M_{\nu U} \nu_{fL} + \text{h.c.}, \quad (1)$$

where ν_{fL} , ℓ_L and E_R are the leptonic fields: $\nu_{fL} \equiv (\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)_L^T$, $\ell_L \equiv (e, \mu, \tau)_L^T$, $E_R \equiv (e, \mu, \tau)_R^T$, and $m_\ell \equiv \text{diag}\{m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau\}$. The flavor neutrino states are related to the mass eigenstates, $\nu_L \equiv (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3)_L^T$, by the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata mixing matrix: $\nu_{fL} = U_{PMNS} \nu_L$. Then, the neutrino mass matrix in the flavor basis, $M_{\nu U}$, can be expressed via the diagonal mass matrix of the neutrino mass eigenvalues, $m_\nu \equiv \text{diag}\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$, and U_{PMNS} as

$$M_{\nu U} = U_{PMNS}^* m_\nu U_{PMNS}^\dagger. \quad (2)$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_\ell$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\nu U}$ be the groups of symmetry transformations that leave invariant the charged lepton and neutrino mass terms in Eq. (1). The residual flavor symmetries in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors are finite subgroups of these: $G_\ell \subset \mathcal{G}_\ell$ and $G_\nu \subset \mathcal{G}_{\nu U}$. We proceed to identify G_ν and G_ℓ systematically.

For the charged leptons we have $\mathcal{G}_\ell \equiv U(1)^3$ corresponding to the electron, muon and tau lepton numbers. As in our previous papers [12, 13], we assume that $G_\ell = \mathbf{Z}_m$,

$G_\ell \subset U(1)^3$. A representation of G_ℓ is given by the matrix T such that ℓ_L and E_R transform as

$$\ell \rightarrow T\ell_L, \quad E_R \rightarrow TE_R, \quad (3)$$

where

$$T \equiv \text{diag}\{e^{i\phi_e}, e^{i\phi_\mu}, e^{i\phi_\tau}\} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\phi_\alpha \equiv 2\pi \frac{k_\alpha}{m}, \quad \alpha = e, \mu, \tau. \quad (5)$$

Invariance of the charged lepton mass matrix m_ℓ under T means that it satisfies $Tm_\ell T^\dagger = m_\ell$. According to Eqs. (4) and (5) T satisfies the condition $T^m = \mathbb{I}$. It is enough to consider the flavor group as a subgroup of $SU(3)^1$, so we impose the equality

$$\phi_e + \phi_\mu + \phi_\tau = 0, \quad (6)$$

or equivalently, $k_\tau = -k_e + k_\mu$, that ensures that $\text{Det}[T] = 1$.

Considering now the symmetry group $\mathcal{G}_\nu$ of the Majorana mass term of neutrinos, we explore the possibility of approximate degeneracy of the mass spectrum. In the neutrino mass basis the invariance of mass matrix under the transformation

$$\nu_L \rightarrow S\nu_L, \quad (7)$$

where S belongs to the group $\mathcal{G}_\nu$, means that

$$S^T m_\nu S = m_\nu. \quad (8)$$

If S satisfies Eq. (8), the corresponding matrix S_U that leaves $M_{\nu U}$ invariant, *i.e.*

$$S_U^T M_{\nu U} S_U = M_{\nu U}, \quad (9)$$

can be found by switching to the flavor basis:

$$S_U = U_{PMNS} S U_{PMNS}^\dagger. \quad (10)$$

Hence, the group $\mathcal{G}_{\nu U}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{G}_\nu$ by applying a similarity transformation with U_{PMNS} to all elements of $\mathcal{G}_\nu$. The residual symmetry G_ν is a discrete subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_{\nu U}$.

Three cases can be distinguished for $\mathcal{G}_\nu$ with increasing symmetry that corresponds to increasing degree of degeneracy of m_ν .

¹The additional $U(1)$ of $U(3)$ can be factored out and does not induce any constraint on mixing.

A. No degeneracy: $\mathcal{G}_\nu = \mathbf{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbf{Z}_2$.

The diagonal neutrino mass matrix m_ν with arbitrary eigenvalues is invariant under the transformations (7) with

$$S_1 = \text{diag}\{1, -1, -1\}, \quad S_2 = \text{diag}\{-1, 1, -1\}, \quad (11)$$

and $S_3 = S_1 S_2$. This case was analyzed in [12, 13] and $G_\nu = \mathbf{Z}_2$ or $G_\nu = \mathbf{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbf{Z}_2$ are possible.

B. 2 degenerate neutrinos: $\mathcal{G}_\nu = SO(2) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_2$.

In addition to the matrices in Eq. (11), the neutrino mass matrix is invariant under rotations of the plane of degeneracy. Taking into account the measured neutrino mass differences, the approximate degeneracy must be between m_1 and m_2 . Therefore we take

$$m_{\nu 3} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} m & & \\ & m & \\ & & m' \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

which is invariant under the transformation

$$S \equiv S_\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} c_\zeta & -s_\zeta & \\ s_\zeta & c_\zeta & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

where $c_\zeta \equiv \cos \zeta$, $s_\zeta \equiv \sin \zeta$. Here we assume, analogously to the case of charged leptons, that $G_\nu = \mathbf{Z}_n$, so that

$$S_U^n = \mathbb{I}. \quad (14)$$

Eq. (14) imposes $\zeta = 2\pi l/n$ in the matrix (13).

C. 3 degenerate neutrinos: $\mathcal{G}_\nu = SO(3)$.

In this case we have

$$m_\nu \simeq m\mathbb{I}, \quad (15)$$

and S can be any orthogonal 3×3 matrix. We note that, according to the Euler rotation theorem, any 3D rotation is a 1D rotation around a certain axis. Thus, any matrix S that is a symmetry of m_ν can be written as

$$S \equiv S_{\zeta O} = O S_\zeta O^T, \quad (16)$$

where O is an orthogonal matrix. The Euler rotation theorem implies that a basis in the neutrino sector can be always selected such that the matrix S in this basis has the form S_ζ . Turning the argument around, imposing only a $\mathbf{Z}_n$ symmetry generated by $S_{\zeta O}$ is not enough to force the three neutrinos to be degenerate.

The most general mass matrix that is left invariant by a $\mathbf{Z}_n$ subgroup of $O(3)$ has only two equal eigenvalues. Thus, if G_ν imposes full degeneracy of the neutrino mass matrix, it must be one of the non-Abelian subgroups of $O(3)$ with 3D representations, *i.e.*, $\mathbf{A}_4$, $\mathbf{S}_4$ or $\mathbf{A}_5$.

As it was shown in [12], the relations between mixing matrix elements follow from the condition that the symmetry transformations of the charged leptons, T , and neutrinos, S_U , belong to the same discrete group G_f . That is, the product

$$W_U \equiv S_U T \quad (17)$$

must also belong to G_f . Furthermore, since G_f is *finite*, there must exist an integer p such that

$$W_U^p = (S_U T)^p = \mathbb{I}. \quad (18)$$

The relations

$$S_U^n = T^m = W_U^p = \mathbb{I} \quad (19)$$

form a presentation of G_f and define the von Dyck group $D(n, m, p)$.

Eq. (18) is a constraint on the mixing matrix [12]. To see this, notice that the eigenvalues of W_U are three p -th roots of unity, $\lambda_1^{(p)}$, $\lambda_2^{(p)}$ and $\lambda_3^{(p)}$. Defining

$$a \equiv \text{Tr}[W_U] = \lambda_1^{(p)} + \lambda_2^{(p)} + \lambda_3^{(p)} \quad (20)$$

we have from Eq. (18) that

$$\text{Tr}[U_{PMNS} S_U^\dagger T] \equiv \text{Tr}[W] = a. \quad (21)$$

Since the p -th roots of unity are a finite set, the RHS of this equation takes values from a finite set of known complex numbers. For known a , and given S and T , Eq. (21) is a complex condition that the entries of U_{PMNS} must satisfy.

Although we will proceed below in all generality, the case analysis of n , m and p is significantly reduced after the following consideration. It is a known fact [12] that in order for the von Dyck group to be finite, one of n , m or p must be equal to 2. In [12, 13] we took $n = 2$ consistent with $G_\nu = \mathbf{Z}_2$. However, in order to enforce degeneracy in the neutrino mass matrix, it must be $n \geq 3$. Assuming also that all charged leptons have different charges under T , *i. e.* $m \geq 3$, we obtain that due to the finiteness of the group it must be necessarily $p = 2$.

Eq. (18) can then be written as

$$W_U^2 = (U_{PMNS} S_U^\dagger T)^2 = \mathbb{I}, \quad (22)$$

and the eigenvalues of W_U must be equal to $+1$ or -1 . Moreover, taking into account that $\det[W_U] = 1$, the eigenvalues of W_U must be $\{1, -1, -1\}$, if W_U is not trivial. Hence, we obtain

$$a = \text{Tr}[W_U] = \text{Tr}[U_{PMNS} S U_{PMNS}^\dagger T] = -1. \quad (23)$$

The condition in Eq. (23) is appropriate when a residual symmetry in the neutrino sector forces the neutrino mass matrix to be of the form B or C.

In what follows we find explicitly the constraints imposed on U_{PMNS} and compare them with experimental data. For U_{PMNS} we will use the standard parametrization given by

$$U_{PMNS} = U_{23}(\theta_{23}) \Gamma_\delta U_{13}(\theta_{13}) \Gamma_\delta^* U_{12}(\theta_{12}) \Gamma_M = \quad (24)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & e^{-i\delta}s_{13} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - e^{i\delta}c_{12}s_{23}s_{13} & c_{12}c_{23} - e^{i\delta}s_{12}s_{23}s_{13} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - e^{i\delta}c_{12}c_{23}s_{13} & -c_{12}s_{23} - e^{i\delta}s_{12}c_{23}s_{13} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_M, \quad (25)$$

where U_{ij} are the matrices of rotations in the ij -planes on the angles θ_{ij} ,

$$\Gamma_\delta \equiv \text{diag}\{1, 1, e^{i\delta}\}, \quad \Gamma_M \equiv \text{diag}\{1, e^{i\kappa}, e^{i\chi}\}, \quad (26)$$

and $c_{12} \equiv \cos \theta_{12}$, $s_{12} \equiv \sin \theta_{12}$, *etc.*.

3 Constraints on mixing for the partially degenerate spectrum

For partially degenerate spectrum, the neutrino mass matrix and the corresponding symmetry are given by Eqs. (12) and (13) respectively, with $\zeta = 2\pi l/n$. The matrix m_ν can be a good lowest order approximation to both normal and inverted mass hierarchies. Corrections could then produce small splitting between the degenerate states and modify mixing angles when needed.

Setting $S = S_\zeta$ we have from (23) the symmetry relation

$$\text{Tr}[S_U T] = \text{Tr}[U_{PMNS} S_\zeta U_{PMNS}^\dagger T] = -1. \quad (27)$$

Explicit computations of S_U (see Eq. (10)) give

$$(S_U)_{\alpha\alpha} = c_\zeta + 2s_{\zeta/2}^2 |U_{\alpha 3}|^2 + i2s_\zeta \text{Im}(U_{\alpha 2} U_{\alpha 1}^*), \quad (28)$$

where $s_{\zeta/2} \equiv \sin(\zeta/2)$. It is convenient to introduce the real and imaginary parts of $(S_U)_{\alpha\alpha} = R_\alpha + iI_\alpha$:

$$R_\alpha = c_\zeta + 2s_{\zeta/2}^2 |U_{\alpha 3}|^2, \quad I_\alpha = 2s_\zeta \text{Im}(U_{\alpha 2} U_{\alpha 1}^*). \quad (29)$$

Notice that the index 3 in the real part of $(S_U)_{\alpha\alpha}$ is related to the non-degenerate third mass eigenstate. According to Eq. (21), the trace of W_U equals

$$\text{Tr}(W_U) = (S_U)_{ee}e^{i\phi_e} + (S_U)_{\mu\mu}e^{i\phi_\mu} + (S_U)_{\tau\tau}e^{i\phi_\tau} = a, \quad (30)$$

and consequently, from the real and imaginary parts of this equation we obtain using Eq. (29)

$$\sum_{\alpha} (R_{\alpha} \cos \phi_{\alpha} - I_{\alpha} \sin \phi_{\alpha}) = \text{Re}[a], \quad \alpha = e, \mu, \tau, \quad (31)$$

$$\sum_{\alpha} (R_{\alpha} \sin \phi_{\alpha} - I_{\alpha} \cos \phi_{\alpha}) = \text{Im}[a], \quad \alpha = e, \mu, \tau. \quad (32)$$

These are the conditions imposed on mixing by the symmetry in the case of partial degeneracy. Explicit equations for the mixing angles and phases in Eq. (25) can be found by substituting R_{α} and I_{α} from Eq. (29) in Eqs. (31) and (32).

As an example, we consider the case in which $\phi_e = 0$, $\phi_{\mu} = -\phi_{\tau} \equiv \psi$, so that the charged lepton transformation matrix has the form

$$T = \text{diag} \{1, e^{i\psi}, e^{-i\psi}\}. \quad (33)$$

Eqs. (31) and (32) are then reduced to

$$R_e + c_{\psi}(R_{\mu} + R_{\tau}) + s_{\psi}(I_{\tau} - I_{\mu}) = \text{Re}[a], \quad (34)$$

$$I_e + c_{\psi}(I_{\mu} + I_{\tau}) + s_{\psi}(R_{\mu} - R_{\tau}) = \text{Im}[a], \quad (35)$$

and inserting Eq. (29) in (34) and (35) we obtain

$$|U_{e3}|^2 - 2x \text{Im} [U_{\mu 2} U_{\mu 1}^* - U_{\tau 2} U_{\tau 1}^*] + x^2 = \frac{1 + \text{Re}[a]}{4s_{\psi/2}^2 s_{\zeta/2}^2}, \quad (36)$$

$$2\text{Im} [U_{e2} U_{e1}^*] - y (|U_{\tau 3}|^2 - |U_{\mu 3}|^2) = \frac{\text{Im}[a]}{s_{\zeta}}. \quad (37)$$

Here we have introduced parameters

$$x \equiv \cot \frac{\psi}{2} \cot \frac{\zeta}{2}, \quad y \equiv \cot \frac{\psi}{2} \tan \frac{\zeta}{2}, \quad (38)$$

which depend only on the group properties. From Eq. (38), $xy = \cot^2 \frac{\psi}{2}$ and $x/y = \cot^2 \frac{\zeta}{2}$, and consequently, x and y should have the same sign.

Eqs. (36, 37) can be immediately generalized to the cases in which the lepton that is uncharged under T is the muon or the tau. The general equations are

$$|U_{\alpha 3}|^2 - 2x \text{Im} [U_{\beta 1} U_{\beta 2}^* - U_{\gamma 1} U_{\gamma 2}^*] + x^2 = \frac{1 + \text{Re}[a]}{4s_{\psi/2}^2 s_{\zeta/2}^2}, \quad (39)$$

$$2\text{Im}[U_{\alpha 1}U_{\alpha 2}^*] - y(|U_{\gamma 3}|^2 - |U_{\beta 3}|^2) = \frac{\text{Im}[a]}{s_\zeta}. \quad (40)$$

Here, (α, β, γ) is (e, μ, τ) or any other combination with a cyclic permutation of these flavor indices. Eqs. (36), (37) correspond to the case $\alpha = e$. Notice that Eqs. (39) and (40) represent yet another generalization of the results of [12] which can be reproduced by setting $\zeta = \pi$.

When there is partial degeneracy in the neutrino mass matrix, only $a = -1$ (see Eq. (23)) leads to finite groups. The explicit conditions on mixing imposed by the symmetry are then reduced to

$$(|U_{\alpha 3}| \mp x)^2 = 2x (\text{Im}[U_{\beta 1}U_{\beta 2}^* - U_{\gamma 1}U_{\gamma 2}^*] \mp |U_{\alpha 3}|), \quad (41)$$

$$2\text{Im}[U_{\alpha 1}U_{\alpha 2}^*] = y(|U_{\gamma 3}|^2 - |U_{\beta 3}|^2). \quad (42)$$

The set of solutions of Eqs. (41, 42) is very restricted. In order to show this, we will use the standard parametrization Eq. (25) for $|U_{\alpha i}|^2$ and consider for definiteness the case $\alpha = e$. Notice nonetheless that our results do not lose generality since for any choice of α there exists a parametrization such that the equations have the form to be discussed below.

We distinguish two cases: $x > 0$ and $x < 0$ which imply $y > 0$ and $y < 0$ respectively. In the standard parametrization and for $|U_{e3}| = \sin \theta_{13} \neq 0$, Eqs. (41, 42) can be written as

$$(\sin \theta_{13} \mp x)^2 = 2x (A_1 + A_2), \quad (43)$$

$$\sin 2\theta_{12} \sin \kappa = y \cos 2\theta_{23}. \quad (44)$$

Here the minus (plus) sign corresponds to $x > 0$ (< 0), and A_1 and A_2 are given by

$$A_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sin^2 \theta_{13}) \cos 2\theta_{23} \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin \kappa, \quad (45)$$

$$A_2 = \sin \theta_{13} [(\cos \kappa \sin \delta - \cos \delta \sin \kappa \cos 2\theta_{12}) \sin 2\theta_{23} \mp 1]. \quad (46)$$

Substituting $\cos 2\theta_{23}$ from Eq. (44) into Eq. (45) we obtain

$$A_1 = -\frac{1}{2y}(1 + \sin^2 \theta_{13}) \sin^2 2\theta_{12} \sin^2 \kappa, \quad (47)$$

so that $A_1 \leq 0$ for $x > 0$ and $A_1 \geq 0$ for $x < 0$ (recall that x and y have the same sign). Since

$$|\cos \kappa \sin \delta - \cos \delta \sin \kappa \cos 2\theta_{12}| \leq 1,$$

from Eq. (46) we have $A_2 \leq 0$ for $x > 0$ and $A_2 \geq 0$ for $x < 0$. We can combine these two results:

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &\leq 0, & x > 0, \\ A_i &\geq 0, & x < 0, \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Let us consider the case $x > 0$ and therefore $y > 0$. Since both A_i are negative, see Eq. (48), the equality in Eq. (43) can be satisfied only if

$$\sin \theta_{13} = x, \quad A_1 = 0, \quad A_2 = 0. \quad (49)$$

Then, according to Eq. (47), there are two solutions of equation $A_1 = 0$:

1). $\kappa = 0$. In this case $A_2 = \sin \theta_{13}(\sin \delta \sin 2\theta_{23} - 1) = 0$ gives $\delta = \pi/2$ and $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$, with θ_{12} being undetermined.

2). $\theta_{12} = 0$. Then $A_2 = \sin \theta_{13}[\sin(\delta - \kappa) \sin 2\theta_{23} - 1] = 0$ is satisfied, if $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$ and $\delta - \kappa = \pi/2$. Now only one combination of the two phases is determined.

Similarly one can consider the case of $x < 0$ which leads to $\sin \theta_{13} = -x$ and changing signs of the phases. So, for both signs of x the two solutions can be written in the following way:

$$\sin \theta_{13} = \pm x, \quad \theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \delta = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \kappa = 0, \quad (50)$$

and θ_{23} as well as χ are undetermined. This solution can provide a good approximation to the mixing matrix. The second solution is

$$\sin \theta_{13} = \pm x, \quad \theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \theta_{12} = 0, \quad \delta - \kappa = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (51)$$

Clearly the vanishing value of θ_{12} contradicts observation. However, since $m_1 = m_2$ small perturbations which produce splitting can also generate large 1-2 mixing.

The solutions can be represented in the form of immediate relations between the mixing parameters and mass ratios. In the first case, Eq. (50) we have

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = \pm \sin \delta = \cos \kappa = \frac{m_1}{m_2}, \quad (52)$$

and in the second one

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = \cos 2\theta_{12} = \pm \sin(\delta - \kappa) = \frac{m_1}{m_2}. \quad (53)$$

In both cases θ_{13} is not related to masses.

It is easy to see that there should exist a solution with θ_{12} undetermined for $\kappa = 0$. Indeed, for partially degenerate spectrum we have additional freedom to perform an arbitrary rotation in the 1-2 plane, $O_{12} = O_{12}(\theta)$. In this case the mixing matrix has general form

$$U_{PMNS} = U_{23}\Gamma_\delta U_{13}\Gamma_\delta^* U_{12}\Gamma_M O_{12}. \quad (54)$$

If $\kappa = 0$, then $\Gamma_M = \text{diag}\{1, 1, e^{i\chi}\}$, so that $\Gamma_M O_{12} = O_{12}\Gamma_M$. Consequently, the additional 1-2 rotation is reduced to

$$U_{12}(\theta_{12}) \rightarrow U_{12}(\theta_{12} + \theta), \quad (55)$$

where θ is arbitrary and therefore the 1-2 mixing is undetermined. This allows one to take $\theta_{12} = 0$ in Eq. (43, 44) which then leads immediately to the solutions (50) and (51).

One can also show that the combinations of mixing parameters that enter Eqs. (41) and (42), in particular, $\text{Im}(U_{\beta 1} U_{\beta 2}^*)$, are invariant with respect to O_{12} transformations.

The solutions we obtained correspond to zero values of the left and right handed parts of equations (41) and (42). They can be written in a parameterization independent form as

$$|U_{\alpha 3}| = \pm x, \quad (56)$$

$$\text{Im}[U_{\beta 1} U_{\beta 2}^* - U_{\gamma 1} U_{\gamma 2}^*] = \pm x, \quad (57)$$

$$\text{Im}[U_{\alpha 1} U_{\alpha 2}^*] = 0, \quad (58)$$

$$|U_{\beta 3}|^2 = |U_{\gamma 3}|^2 \quad (59)$$

and they are valid for any choice of flavor index α . The relation Eq. (59) leaves only $\alpha = e$ for a plausible explanation of experimental data.

Let us compute the group parameter x which determines θ_{13} (see Eq. (50)). The combinations of numbers $(m, n) = (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 3), (3, 5)$ and $(5, 3)$, which determine the angles $\psi = 2\pi k/m$ and $\zeta = 2\pi l/n$, exhaust the finite von Dyck groups. We find that the experimental value of θ_{13} is best approximated by choosing $(m, n) = (5, 3)$ or $(3, 5)$ which corresponds to the group $\mathbf{A}_5$. In this case, for $k = 2, l = 1$ we obtain

$$\sin \theta_{13} = \cot \frac{\pi}{3} \cot \frac{2\pi}{5} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\right)} \simeq 0.187. \quad (60)$$

This value, ($\theta_{13} \approx 11^\circ$), is a good first approximation to the measured one [10].

As another example we take $(m, n) = (3, 3)$ with $k = 1$ and $l = 1$. This corresponds to an $\mathbf{A}_4$ group and leads to

$$\sin \theta_{13} = \cot^2 \frac{\pi}{3} = \frac{1}{3}. \quad (61)$$

Although rather far from the experimental value it has interesting theoretical implications for the case of complete degeneracy, as we see in Sec. 4.

4 Constraints on mixing for the completely degenerate spectrum

As we remarked in Sec. 2, $M_{\nu U}$ can be forced to be completely degenerate, if a non-abelian discrete subgroup of $O(3)$ with three-dimensional representations is imposed as

G_ν . The possible groups are thus restricted to $\mathbf{A}_4$, $\mathbf{S}_4$ and $\mathbf{A}_5$. These can be generated by two matrices: S_ζ and P that satisfy

$$S_\zeta^n = P^2 = (S_\zeta P)^r = \mathbb{I}. \quad (62)$$

We take a basis for the neutrinos such that S_ζ is given by Eq. (13). The second matrix, P , can be represented as

$$P = O^T P_D O, \quad (63)$$

where

$$P_D = \text{diag}\{1, -1, -1\}, \quad (64)$$

and $O = O(\phi_{12}, \phi_{13}, \phi_{23})$ is a generic orthogonal matrix of rotations on the angles ϕ_{ij} .

In the charged lepton sector we take, as before, $G_\ell = \mathbf{Z}_m$. The generator T must now satisfy conditions like Eq. (18) with both S_U and $P_U = U_{PMNS} P U_{PMNS}^\dagger$. Hence, the complete presentation for the flavor group G_f is given by

$$S_U^n = T^m = P_U^2 = \mathbb{I}, \quad (S_U P_U)^r = (S_\zeta P)^r = \mathbb{I}, \quad (65)$$

$$(S_U T)^2 = (P_U T)^q = \mathbb{I}. \quad (66)$$

Notice that this presentation does not guarantee that G_f is finite. Following the same argument exploited in case B, we obtain that Eqs. (65, 66) impose a set of conditions on matrices U_{PMNS} and O :

$$\text{Tr}[U_{PMNS} S_\zeta U_{PMNS}^\dagger T] = -1, \quad (67)$$

$$\text{Tr}[O S_\zeta O^T P_D] = a_r, \quad (68)$$

$$\text{Tr}[U_{PMNS} O^T P_D O U_{PMNS}^\dagger T] = a_q, \quad (69)$$

where $a_r(a_q)$ is the sum of three r -th (q -th) roots of unity. The solutions of Eq. (67), which coincides with condition of the previous case, are given in Eqs. (50), (51). Two other equations are new: Eq. (68) is the one for the matrix O , instead of U_{PMNS} , and it can be solved in a similar way. Using a parametrization for matrix O similar to Eq. (25) with vanishing CP phases we get

$$\sin^2 \phi_{13} = \frac{a_r + 1}{2(1 + \cos \zeta)}, \quad (70)$$

where ϕ_{13} is the angle in O equivalent to θ_{13} in Eq. (25). Substituting (50) and (70) in Eq. (69), we obtain a new equation for the remaining parameters of U_{PMNS} that either has no solution - and the group representation in question does not exist - or fixes the Majorana phase χ .

For the values of the parameters in Eqs. (50) and (60) the Eq. (69) has no solutions. For the pattern with the 1-3 mixing from Eq. (61) the Eq. (69) does have a solution if

$r = 3$ and $q = 3$. Notice that for $r = 3$ the group $G_\nu = \mathbf{A}_4$. We obtain for the second Majorana phase, χ :

$$\chi = \frac{3\pi}{2}. \quad (71)$$

A few comments are in order. It is easy to check that T can be written as a combination of P_U , and S_U , so that it is not an independent generator. Since $G_f = \mathbf{A}_4$, this theory corresponds to a case in which the flavor group G_f remains *unbroken* in the neutrino sector while it is broken to a $\mathbf{Z}_3$ subgroup in the charged lepton sector.

Out of six parameters that appear in U_{PMNS} three are unphysical in the fully degenerate case [17]. This seems to be in contradiction with the fact that we have determined five parameters $\{\theta_{13}, \theta_{23}, \delta, \kappa, \chi\}$ by means of the symmetry. Actually, some of these parameters have been fixed, not by the symmetry but by our choice of basis. Indeed, in order to carry the analysis, we assumed that the group $G_f = \mathbf{A}_4$ included the 1-2 rotation S_ζ as one of the generators. However, for fully degenerate neutrinos, rotations around any axis could serve as symmetries of the neutrino mass matrix. Moreover, if S_U , P_U and T satisfy Eqs. (67) and (68) for some U_{PMNS} , then also T and the new matrices S'_U , P'_U satisfy them with

$$S'_U = VS_UV^T, \quad P'_U = VP_UV^T \quad (72)$$

for a mixing matrix

$$U'_{PMNS} = U_{PMNS}V^T. \quad (73)$$

Here V is any orthogonal matrix. Thus, we can only say that there exists one basis in which the U_{PMNS} parameters have the values in Eqs. (50) and (71). In general, according to Eq. (73), three parameters of U_{PMNS} are unphysical.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we further developed the formalism of the “symmetry building” in such a way that it includes both mixing parameters and neutrino masses. More precisely, the formalism connects partially and completely degenerate spectra for the neutrinos with mixing angles and CP-phases.

The case of partial degeneracy, $m_1 = m_2$, follows when a $\mathbf{Z}_n$ subgroup of $SO(2)$ with $n \geq 3$ is preserved in the neutrino sector. It can be a good lowest order approximation to the spectrum of normal (inverted) mass hierarchy. This case is very restrictive, leading to 4 conditions on the mixing parameters. For $m_1 = m_2$ we have found two types of solutions with 4 mixing parameters fixed. Both solutions have maximal 2-3 mixing and 1-3 mixing determined directly by the group parameters. They differ by the values of the 1-2 mixing and CP-violation phases. The first solution has zero θ_{12} , and one condition on the phases: $\delta - \kappa = \pi/2$. In the second solution, θ_{12} is undefined but both

phases are fixed: $\delta = \pi/2$ and $\kappa = 0$. In the case that gives the best approximation to the measured values, the symmetry group is $\mathbf{A}_5$ and we obtain $\sin \theta_{13} = 0.187$.

These solutions should be considered as a lowest order approximation. Relatively small corrections are assumed to produce the mass splitting and fix θ_{12} in one case and generate θ_{12} in another. Corrections may also give better agreement of the 1-3 and 2-3 mixings with observations.

A completely degenerate spectrum is achieved if the residual symmetry in the neutrino sector is either $\mathbf{A}_4$, $\mathbf{S}_4$ or $\mathbf{A}_5$. In this case, U_{PMNS} has only 3 physical parameters all of which are determined by the symmetry. In our formalism, this is made explicit by the fact that, in a particular basis, all the angles and CP-phases of the mixing matrix - except for θ_{12} which remains undefined - are fixed.

The charged lepton masses are not involved in this consideration. In fact, the inclusion of charged leptons may produce corrections which will make the scheme with degeneracy to be viable. At the same time, it will be probably difficult to immediately extend this consideration to the quark sector and treat two light generations as being degenerate in the first approximation.

Acknowledgements

A. Y. S. is grateful to the MPI fur Kernphysik, Heidelberg, where this work has been accomplished, for hospitality.

References

- [1] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82** (2010) 2701 [arXiv:1002.0211 [hep-ph]].
- [2] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B **530** (2002) 167 [hep-ph/0202074]; P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B **535** (2002) 163 [hep-ph/0203209].
- [3] E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 113012 [hep-ph/0106291].; K. S. Babu, E. Ma and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B **552** (2003) 207 [hep-ph/0206292]. E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 057304 [hep-ph/0511133].
- [4] G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio and L. Merlo, JHEP **0905** (2009) 020 [arXiv:0903.1940 [hep-ph]].
- [5] C. S. Lam, Phys. Lett. B **656** (2007) 193 [arXiv:0708.3665 [hep-ph]]. F. Bazzocchi, L. Merlo and S. Morisi, Nucl. Phys. B **816** (2009) 204 [arXiv:0901.2086 [hep-ph]]; F. Bazzocchi and S. Morisi, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 096005 [arXiv:0811.0345 [hep-ph]].
- [6] F. Feruglio and A. Paris, JHEP **1103** (2011) 101 [arXiv:1101.0393 [hep-ph]].
- [7] R. Gatto, G. Sartori and M. Tonin, Phys. Lett. B **28** (1968) 128.
- [8] C. D. Froggatt and H. B. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. B **147** (1979) 277.
- [9] G. C. Branco, Phys. Lett. B **76** (1978) 70.
- [10] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino, A. Palazzo, A. M. Rotunno, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 013012 [arXiv:1205.5254 [hep-ph]]; M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, J. Salvado and T. Schwetz, JHEP **1212** (2012) 123 [arXiv:1209.3023 [hep-ph]]; D. V. Forero, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 073012 [arXiv:1205.4018 [hep-ph]].
- [11] S. F. Ge, D. A. Dicus and W. W. Repko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 041801 [arXiv:1108.0964 [hep-ph]]. S. F. Ge, D. A. Dicus and W. W. Repko, Phys. Lett. B **702** (2011) 220 [arXiv:1104.0602 [hep-ph]]. S. F. Ge, H. J. He and F. R. Yin, JCAP **1005** (2010) 017 [arXiv:1001.0940 [hep-ph]].
- [12] D. Hernandez and A. Y. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 053014 [arXiv:1204.0445 [hep-ph]].
- [13] D. Hernandez and A. Y. Smirnov, arXiv:1212.2149 [hep-ph].

- [14] R. de Adelhart Toorop, F. Feruglio and C. Hagedorn, Nucl. Phys. B **858** (2012) 437 [arXiv:1112.1340 [hep-ph]].
- [15] C. S. Lam, arXiv:1208.5527 [hep-ph].
- [16] C. S. Lam, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 113004 [hep-ph/0611017]. W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, JHEP **0809** (2008) 106 [arXiv:0809.0226 [hep-ph]]. C. H. Albright and W. Rodejohann, Eur. Phys. J. C **62** (2009) 599 [arXiv:0812.0436 [hep-ph]]. C. H. Albright, A. Dueck and W. Rodejohann, Eur. Phys. J. C **70** (2010) 1099 [arXiv:1004.2798 [hep-ph]]. X. -G. He and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 053004 [arXiv:1106.4359 [hep-ph]]. S. Antusch, S. F. King, C. Luhn and M. Spinrath, Nucl. Phys. B **856** (2012) 328 [arXiv:1108.4278 [hep-ph]].
- [17] G. C. Branco, M. N. Rebelo and J. I. Silva-Marcos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999) 683 [hep-ph/9810328].